

Stakeholder Engagement Group: February 16th, 2022 4:00 – 5:00 PM Meeting Notes

Zoom Video Conference (<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83648669936?pwd=T01zUG9LY29FaXZGeGZ3Tk5pVWZ09>)

Attendance

X: In-person attendance

V: Video attendance

	John Kimberling, Moscow business community member		Allyson Beall King, WSU School of the Environment
	Julie Padowski, WSU Water Resource Center		Zena Hartung, City of Moscow citizen
	Lovina Englund, Palouse Land Trust		Chris Sokol, Latah County Library District
V	Jennifer Boie, Palouse Conservation District		Chris Duke, Phoenix Conservancy
V	Michael Echanove, City of Palouse citizen	V	Marie Dymkoski, Chamber of Commerce Pullman
	Earl Bennett, University of Idaho Emeritus	V	Guy Gregory, Gregory Geologic
	David Hall, Community Member	V	Paul Kimmell, PBAC
V	Robin Nimmer, PBAC Consultant, Alta Science and Engineering		Ashley Ford, PBAC Intern
	Meghan Foard, UI Waters of the West graduate	V	Jeff Gramlich, WSU Professor and City of Pullman citizen
V	Allison Lebeda, Nez Perce Tribe	V	K. Brandon Chapman, Former Pullman City Councilman
V	Mike Wolf, City of Palouse		

Visitors and Others:

WSU graduate student: Lauren Kirkpatrick (V)

Moscow Mayor: Art Bettge (V)

Call to Order: Paul Kimmell called the meeting to order at 4:01 PM, facilitated group introductions, and mentioned the SEG Charter/Mission in the agenda.

1) Palouse Basin Revisioning Tool: Lauren Kirkpatrick/WSU

- **Revisioning Tool website and survey:** Kirkpatrick shared the website and walked through the revisioning tool. She stated the purpose of the Tool and survey is two-

fold: to see 1) which version of the revisioning tool helped people understand the science better, and 2) which alternative people favor.

- **Group discussion/feedback:** Recommended using more straightforward words instead of academic words (ex. using website or platform instead of interface).

2) PBAC Awareness Poll Findings: Paul Kimmell/PBAC

- Kimmell shared slides and summarized the Google poll results.

3) Palouse Water Supply Alternatives update and Decision Matrix Discussion: Robin Nimmer/Alta

- **Project Status:** Nimmer provided a brief update on the work Alta's team is doing.
- **Decision Matrix:** Nimmer shared the decision matrix criteria and the weights.
- **Group discussion/feedback:**
 - i. The unit cost/acre feet criterion weight is too high; recommend lowering it.
 - ii. The long-term reliability criterion weight is too low; recommend increasing it.
 - iii. The communities should consider increasing water rates now to help pay for the project and to help increase conservation participation.

4) Closing Remarks: Paul Kimmell/PBAC

- **Homework:** Nimmer asked the group to think about any criterion gaps in the decision matrix.

5) Adjourn at 5:05 PM